

# 34/Ande  
M. W. H. B.  
30 6/19/84  
RECEIVED



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE AUG 03 1984

8/27/84

GROUP 210  
Amending

In re Patent Application for: INVERTER CIRCUITS

Serial No.: 06/555,426

Filed: NOVEMBER 23, 1983

Group Art Unit: 212

Examiner: BEHA, WILLIAM H., JR.

AMENDMENT B

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Commissioner:

In response to Office Action dated 07/19/84 (Paper No. 29),  
Applicant herewith provides the following arguments, amendments,  
and comments.

ARGUMENTS IN RE CLAIM REJECTIONS

In re claims 118 and 122

Examiner rejects Claims 118 and 122 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)  
as being anticipated by Rhoads, referring to supporting rationale  
provided in previous Office action (Paper No. 27, page 3, first  
three full paragraphs).

In support of his rejection, Examiner further states that  
"claims are given the broadest reasonable interpretation during  
prosecution before the Office". Applicant is completely in  
agreement with the intent behind that statement. An important  
question, however, relates to the part: "broadest reasonable  
interpretation" -- the question being: what constitutes  
"reasonable"?

In respect to this question of "reasonable interpretation",  
Applicant contends that some of Examiner's arguments,  
specifically those represented by the second full paragraph on  
page 3 of the latest Office action (Paper No. 29), do not  
represent "reasonable interpretation".

Do not enter  
W. H. B.  
8/15/84